Essential Reference Paper B

| Issue | Representations made | Officer comment |
|---|---|--|
| Changes to the Conservation Area Boundary. | A private resident does not agree with the proposed exclusion of the bungalows at Station Hill on the basis that the PC would not be able to influence the outcome should the sites be redeveloped. The Parish Council also seek their retention in the Conservation Area (CA) on the basis that greater protection will be afforded. | The key test is to consider if the properties represent an area of special architectural or historic interest which is desirable to conserve or enhance. The site is located on the edge of the CA and consists of modern single storey mid 20th century residential properties that officers consider are of insufficient quality to be included. On balance officers consider their removal is appropriate and consistent with similar recommendations and decisions taken in other settlements. |
| | The PC recommends a further substantial extension to include Spring Meadow and Kindersfield stretching down Brickendon Lane as far as Kindersfield agricultural barn to preserve the amenity and the 20 mile view. | The land in question forms part of the open countryside. Its amenity is afforded protection by its inclusion within the Green Belt. Extending the CA into the open countryside is not considered appropriate and is consistent with decisions taken in other settlements. |
| Listed Buildings or buildings within their curtilages | A resident advises that the Listed Building description relating to 'original diamond mullioned windows' is incorrect. | The reference in the text has been amended. Officers have advised the owner of the appropriate link should she wish to approach English Heritage to review the listing description. |
| Important open land and spaces | The Parish Council and others raise an issue relating to the extent of Brickendon Green as originally shown on the plans. | The Plans have been amended to reflect the proper extent of Brickendon Green. |
| Other points | A resident of 3a Brickendon Lane draws attention to the fact that it appears from the map that her modern property appears to be potentially subject to an Article 4 Direction. | The reference to an Article 4 Direction refers to an adjacent building. It location on the Management Plan has been changed to more accurately reflect this. |
| | The PC advise that the Parish Hall | The reference to Picture 6 be |

does not include a barn running changed to reflect this. parallel to the road. Officers consider one wall adjacent The PC consider two walls identified to Brickendon Green whilst being as being worthy of retention merit listing to afford maximum protection. worthy of retention (and is protected from substantial demolition virtue of being in the CA) is not of sufficient quality to warrant listing. The other wall currently beyond the CA is very fine indeed and the existing text already advises the issue of listing should be explored further.